



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/237,099	01/25/1999	ROBERT D. GLASER	REALNET.001C	9619

7590 03/25/2004

ARTHUR S ROSE
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR
620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE
SIXTEENTH FLOOR
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660

EXAMINER

VAUGHN JR, WILLIAM C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2143

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/237,099

Applicant(s)

GLASER ET AL.

Examiner

William C. Vaughn, Jr.

Art Unit

2143

All Participants:(1) William C. Vaughn, Jr. Examiner.(2) Jason K. Klindtworth, Reg. No. 47,211.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 March 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ NoIf Yes, provide a brief description: *see papers 18 and 19.***Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

49-88

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 5,132,992

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative in regards to the latest Amendment and Response received on 03 March 2004 (see paper 19). Examiner indicated that with respect to the Amendment, it was understood that in the previous interview (see paper 18) that any amendment filed regarding the discussion that it should include arguments addressing the allowable subject matter (i.e. related metadata, synchronize with significant events in the audio and the first and second buffers as taught within the enabling portions of applicant's specification (see pages 5, 35 and 36 of Applicant's specification)) as well as how this differs from the cited U.S. Patent No. 5,132,992. Applicant's representative indicated that he would further clarify in their arguments with regards to this request by the Examiner.